18. PLANNING APPEALS REPORT (A.1536)

1. APPEALS LODGED

The following appeals have been lodged during this month.

<u>Reference</u>	<u>Details</u>	Method of Appeal	Committee/ Delegated
NP/DDD/0822/1054 3314889 – Listed Building Consent NP/DDD/0822/1053 3314891 – Planning Consent	Regularisation of unauthorised work to rear roof over bathroom at Leach House, Leadmill, Hathersage	Written Representations	Delegated
NP/DDD/0722/0897 3316081	To retain timber cladding on garage for environmental reasons at Hallyard House, Bakewell Road, Over Haddon	Written Representations	Delegated
NP/DDD/0622/0760 3316665	S73 Application for the variation of Condition 3 on NP/DDD/0921/1053 regarding the overflow car parking on grassland below the Bastion Wall at Chatsworth House, Edensor	Written Representations	Committee
NP/HPK/0822/1032 3319738 – Planning Consent NP/HPK/0623/0664 3328109 – Listed Building Consent	Conversion of barn to dwelling in include extension, alterations and landscape works at Herod Farm, Turnlee Road, Glossop	Written Representations	Delegated
NP/DDD/0522/0676 3317676	Reinstatement of field barn at Jackson's Croft, Smalldale	Written Representations	Delegated

2. APPEALS WITHDRAWN

There have been no appeals withdrawn during this month.

3. APPEALS DECIDED

The following appeals have been decided during this month.

Reference	<u>Details</u>	Method of Appeal	<u>Decision</u>	Committee/ Delegated
NP/DDD/0222/0189 3305642	Proposed agricultural building to house and feed livestock and to store fodder and implements at Limestone Meadows, Millers Dale	Written Representations	Dismissed	Delegated

The Inspector considered that the proposal would appeal as a highly prominent and isolated feature in the landscape would still have the appearance of a substantial modern shed despite the proposed use of Yorkshire boarding and local rubble stone. The Inspector dismissed the appeal owing to its siting, appearance and scale, and the harm it would cause to the character and appearance of the area.

NP/DDD/0522.0632	Replacement windows at	Written	Dismissed	Delegated
3313446	The Moon Inn, High	Representations		•
	Street, Stoney Middleton			

The Inspector considered that the retrospective development had caused harm to the character and appearance of the host building and the conservation area. The Inspector also considered that the impact was accentuated when the windows were opened, because they opened outwards from the top and not in the manner of traditional sash windows. The appeal was therefore dismissed.

NP/DDD/0921/0964 3312301	Erection of single storey side extension, new patio, retaining wall and railings and steps, an infilling existing window at The Anchor Inn, Tideswell.	Written Representations	Allowed	Delegated

The Inspector considered that the proposed development, which had already been carried out, caused minimal harm to the significance of the building as a non-designated heritage asset and didn't harm the valued characteristics of the PDNP. The Inspector thought that the alterations and extensions were sympathetic to the form and appearance of the rear projection of the public house and did not affect the principal front elevation. They considered that the development provided public benefits through the provision of an accessible toilet, a means of escape and by supporting the local economy and believed that these benefits outweighed any harms and therefore met the provisions of the Framework. The appeal was allowed.

4. **RECOMMENDATION:**

To note the report.